

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,313	12/19/2000	Kuotung Hsu	AB-1090 US	6170
7	590 01/29/2003			
MacPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP			EXAMINER	
2402 MICHELSON DRIVE SUITE 210		GONZALEZ, JULIO C		
IRVINE, CA	92612		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/741,313	HSU ET AL.	1
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Julio C. Gonzalez	2834	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Fallu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Now, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<b>.</b>
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 071	<u> Vovember 2002</u>		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-14 is/are pending in the ap	plication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9 and 12</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10,11,13 and 14 is/are rejected.			
The section and	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	기가 되었다. 기가 기가 있는 기가 기가 기가 있다.	
Applicati	on Papers	•		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		and the second s	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received ir	Application No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	
* - * - <u></u>	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		ion).
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro	•		- ·,·
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	· =	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims disclose a stopper with a location being a function of the height of the magnet. What is meant by the "function of the height" of the magnet? How is the magnet affecting the stopper? Is the movement of the stopper about the height of the magnet? Partially the height of the magnet affects the stopper? How the height of the magnet affects the stopper?

In order to advance prosecution in the merits, the Prior Art will be applied as best understood by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,313

Art Unit: 2834

4. Claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agematsu et al in view of Matsuhima.

Agematsu et al discloses a rotor structure having coils 59, shaft 52, magnet 54, magnet holder 103 having a wall connected to the magnet 54 (see figure 10), a bushing 66 connected to shaft 52. Also, other features of how a bushing connected to the shaft are shown in figures 12, 13 and 14. Moreover, a stopper 65 is disclosed to be engaged in the shaft 52.

However, Agematsu et al does not disclose that the stopper may be positioned within a range of possible positions along the shaft.

On the other hand, Matsushima discloses for the purpose of reducing vibration of a motor due to speed of rotation of a rotor, a stopper 12 which is movable along the shaft 6 and may be place on several locations along the shaft (see figures 1, 2b & abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a rotor structure as disclosed by Agematsu et al and to modify the invention by having a movable member along the shaft for the purpose of reducing vibration of a motor due to speed of rotation of a rotor as disclosed by Matsushima.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,313

Art Unit: 2834

## Response to Arguments

5. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., claims 10 and 11 do not disclose that the stopper is fixedly positioned along the shaft in one location and that the location corresponds to the length of the magnet and since the stopper can be positioned to the length of the magnet, it can be repeatedly utilized without changing the size) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12 allowed.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/741,313

Art Unit: 2834

### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371.

Art Unit: 2834

Page 6

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

NESTOR PANNING

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Jcg

January 27, 2003